Casein Kinase 2 Regulates the NR2 Subunit Composition of Synaptic NMDA Receptors

Antonio Sanz-Clemente,¹ Jose A. Matta,² John T.R. Isaac,² and Katherine W. Roche^{1,*}

¹Receptor Biology Section

²Synaptic Plasticity Section

National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

*Correspondence: rochek@ninds.nih.gov

DOI 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.011

SUMMARY

N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors (NMDARs) play a central role in development, synaptic plasticity, and neurological disease. NMDAR subunit composition defines their biophysical properties and downstream signaling. Casein kinase 2 (CK2) phosphorylates the NR2B subunit within its PDZ-binding domain; however, the consequences for NMDAR localization and function are unclear. Here we show that CK2 phosphorylation of NR2B regulates synaptic NR2B and NR2A in response to activity. We find that CK2 phosphorylates NR2B, but not NR2A, to drive NR2B-endocytosis and remove NR2B from synapses resulting in an increase in synaptic NR2A expression. During development there is an activity-dependent switch from NR2B to NR2A at cortical synapses. We observe an increase in CK2 expression and NR2B phosphorylation over this same critical period and show that the acute activity-dependent switch in NR2 subunit composition at developing hippocampal synapses requires CK2 activity. Thus, CK2 plays a central role in determining the NR2 subunit content of synaptic NMDARs.

INTRODUCTION

N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) are a subtype of ionotropic glutamate receptors, which are widely expressed throughout the nervous system. NMDARs play important roles in development, learning and memory, as well as in some neuropsychiatric disorders (Lau and Zukin, 2007). The NMDAR subunits (NR1, NR2A-D, and NR3A-B) assemble as tetramers containing two NR1 subunits and two NR2 (or NR3) subunits to form functional NMDARs (Cull-Candy and Leszkiewicz, 2004; Furukawa et al., 2005). In particular, NMDARs in cerebral cortex are primarily composed of two NR1 subunits, and two NR2A or NR2B subunits (Al-Hallaq et al., 2007; Kohr, 2006; Tovar and Westbrook, 1999).

Protein composition and receptor density at synapses are strongly regulated by several mechanisms including phosphorylation and interactions with PDZ domain-containing proteins (Chen and Roche, 2007; Kim and Sheng, 2004; Kim and Huganir, 1999). For example, phosphorylation of the PDZ-binding domain of the inwardly rectifying K⁺ channels Kir2.3 and Kir5.1 by cAMPdependent protein kinase disrupts their association with PSD-95 and modulates their function (Cohen et al., 1996; Tanemoto et al., 2002). In addition, AMPA receptor internalization is regulated by protein kinase C, which directly phosphorylates the PDZ binding domain of GluR2 (S880) and prevents its association with GRIP, but not with PICK1 (Chung et al., 2003; Perez et al., 2001; Seidenman et al., 2003). The NR2B subunit of NMDARs is also phosphorylated within its PDZ binding domain, on serine 1480 (S1480). Casein kinase 2 (CK2) phosphorylates S1480, disrupts the interaction of NR2B with PSD-95 and SAP102, and leads to a decrease in NR2B surface expression. Interestingly, phosphorylation of NR2B on S1480 is regulated by synaptic activity and CaMKII (Chung et al., 2004), but the impact of CK2 phosphorylation on synaptic NMDARs has not been evaluated.

CK2 is a highly conserved serine/threonine kinase, organized as a tetramer composed of two catalytic subunits (α and α') and two regulatory β subunits (Litchfield, 2003; Pinna and Meggio, 1997). Although it is constitutively active, CK2 activity can be modulated by a diverse array of stimuli, and a number of mechanisms contribute to CK2 regulation in vivo, including interaction with proteins and small molecules, phosphorylation and regulated expression and assembly (Blanquet, 2000; Faust and Montenarh, 2000; Litchfield, 2003; Litchfield et al., 1994). Although ubiquitous, the activity of CK2 is 3-8 fold higher in brain than in nonneuronal tissues, and, in particular, cortex and hippocampus express high levels of CK2 (Blanquet, 2000; Girault et al., 1990; Martin et al., 1990). Little is known about the function of this kinase in the nervous system, but studies suggest a role in learning and memory (Blanguet, 2000). For example, long-term potentiation (LTP) transiently increases CK2 activity in hippocampus (Charriaut-Marlangue et al., 1991).

The subunit composition of synaptic NMDARs in forebrain changes during development and this switch is activity-dependent (Barria and Malinow, 2002; Bellone and Nicoll, 2007; Carmignoto and Vicini, 1992; Quinlan et al., 1999). During early development, NR2B-containing NMDARs are predominant, whereas NR2A-containing NMDARs become abundant by adulthood. However, the precise molecular mechanisms mediating the switch remain obscure. We now show that CK2 differentially phosphorylates NR2A and NR2B. In addition, CK2 modulates the synaptic expression of NR2 subunits, increasing the level







of synaptic NR2A and decreasing synaptic NR2B via increased endocytosis. Finally, CK2 regulates NR2B phosphorylation during development and is required for the NR2B to NR2A switch induced by activity in young animals, showing that CK2 is a key regulator of NR2 subunit composition of synaptic NMDARs.

RESULTS

NR2B is phosphorylated by CK2 within the PDZ ligand on S1480, which disrupts its association with PDZ domain-containing proteins (Chung et al., 2004). The extreme C termini of NR2A and NR2B share a high degree of homology and, in particular, their six last amino acids (aa), including the PDZ binding domain (-ESDV), are identical (Figure 1A). Therefore, we tested if NR2A was phosphorylated by CK2 on S1462, the analogous serine within its PDZ binding domain. We carried out in vitro phosphorylation assays, using GST fusion proteins containing the last 175 aa of NR2A and NR2B, both wild-type (WT) and mutants to disrupt the phosphorylation (GST-NR2A S1462A and GST-NR2B S1480A). GST-proteins were incubated with [y-32P]ATP and CK2 ±25 µM 4,5,6,7-tetrabromobenzotriazole (TBB), a selective CK2 inhibitor (Sarno et al., 2001, 2005), as described in Experimental Procedures. NR2B was robustly phosphorylated by CK2, and the S1480A mutation completely abolished the

Figure 1. CK2 Phosphorylates NR2B Much More Efficiently than NR2A

(A) Alignment of the extreme C termini of rodent NR2A and NR2B. The PDZ binding domain (-ESDV) is shown in bold and the tyrosine-based endocytic motif in NR2B is underlined in gray.

(B) In vitro phosphorylation of the last 175 aa of NR2A (1289–1464) or NR2B (1307–1482) by CK2. GST-NR2A, GST-NR2A S1462A, GST-NR2B, or GST-NR2B S1480A was incubated with CK2 and $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ ATP for 20 min at 30°C. When indicated, TBB (25 μ M) was added to the sample.

(C) Cortical cultures (DIV10) were incubated with 25 μ M TBB overnight to reduce endogenous phosphorylation of CK2 substrates. Cells were lysed and receptors recovered using specific NR2A or NR2B antibodies. Immunoprecipitates were subjected to an in vitro phosphorylation assay (as in B) with recombinant CK2 for 20 min at 30°C; n = 3.

(D) HEK293 cells were cotransfected with PSD-95, NR1, and GFP-NR2A or GFP-NR2B. After treatment with TBB for 4 hr, cells were lysed in PBS with 1% TX-100. The amount of PSD-95 bound to NMDAR subunits was analyzed by coimmuno-precipitation with anti-NR2A or anti-NR2B antibodies. Graph represents means \pm SEM (n = 4). *p < 0.05.

phosphorylation. Furthermore, incubation with TBB inhibited ³²P incorporation. However, strikingly, NR2A was not efficiently phosphorylated by CK2 in vitro (Figure 1B).

To evaluate the phosphorylation of endogenous NR2 subunits by CK2

in vivo, we carried out a back phosphorylation assay. We first treated cortical neurons $\pm 25~\mu$ M TBB to inhibit CK2, which should reduce the endogenous phosphorylation of CK2 substrates. After cell lysis, NR2A or NR2B was immunoprecipitated using specific antibodies and subjected to an in vitro phosphorylation assay with CK2 as described above. ³²P incorporation into NR2B was more robust when isolated from TBB-treated neurons than when isolated from control cultures (Figure 1C), consistent with the reduced CK2 phosphorylation of endogenous NR2B after TBB-treatment. In contrast we observed no specific signal in NR2A immunoprecipitates, either control or TBB-treated, showing that endogenous NR2A is not efficiently phosphorylated by CK2.

An important consequence of NR2B phosphorylation on Ser1480 is the disruption of PDZ interactions. To determine if CK2 activity modulates the association of NR2A and NR2B with PDZ-containing proteins, we performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments in HEK293 cells transfected with PSD-95, NR1, and NR2A or NR2B. After treatment with 25 μ M TBB for 4 hr, we observed an increase in the association of PSD-95 with NR2B, as predicted. However, TBB treatment did not increase the binding of PSD-95 to NR2A (Figure 1D). The association of NR2A or NR2B with NR1, evaluated as control, was not affected by TBB (data not shown). Taken together, these data

Neuron Regulation of Synaptic NMDARs by CK2



Surface

Input

show that, despite its high homology with NR2B, the NR2A C terminus is a poor substrate for CK2 and, accordingly, CK2 activity regulates NR2B, but not NR2A, binding to PDZ domain-containing proteins.

Our data showing that CK2 differentially regulates NR2A and NR2B prompted us to investigate the role of CK2 in the trafficking and synaptic localization of these subunits. First, we analyzed the effect of inhibiting CK2 activity on the surface expression of the NR2A and NR2B. We treated cortical neurons (DIV 10) with 25μ M TBB and carried out cell surface biotinylation assays as described in the Experimental Procedures. Consistent with previous studies (Chung et al., 2004), we observed an increase in the surface expression of NR2B in the cultures treated with TBB (Figure 2A). In contrast, the level of NR2A expressed on the cell surface was dramatically reduced with the same treatment (Figure 2A). CK2 activity did not modify AMPA receptor surface expression. In addition, we used a fluorescence-based assay and confocal microscopy to visualize receptor surface expression. Hippocampal neurons expressing GFP-NR2A or (A) Cortical cultures (DIV 10) were treated overnight with 20 μ M TBB or vehicle. Surface proteins were biotinylated and isolated with streptavidinagarose beads as described in Experimental Procedures. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and blotted for NR2A, NR2B, NR1, GluR1, or synaptophysin (as control). Graph represents means \pm SEM. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 (n = 5).

(B) Hippocampal neurons expressing GFP-NR2A or GFP-NR2B were treated \pm TBB (25 μ M). Surface receptors were labeled with anti-GFP antibody and Alexa-568 conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (shown in white). After permeabilization, the internal pool of receptors was visualized by labeling with anti-GFP and Alexa-633 conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibody (shown in green). n for NR2A (-/+ TBB) = 21, 16; n for NR2B (±TBB) = 17, 22. Data represent means ± SEM. **p < 0.01.

(C) Colocalization of endogenous PSD-95 and NR2B or NR2A was evaluated in hippocampal neurons treated with 25 µM TBB, after transient transfection with GFP-NR2A or GFP-NR2B. n for NR2A(±TBB) = 15, 18; n for NR2B (±TBB) = 21, 28. Data represent means ± SEM. *p < 0.05. See also Figure S1.

GFP-NR2B were treated with TBB and surface-expressed receptors were labeled with anti-GFP antibody. Consistent with our biochemical results, neurons treated with TBB showed a significant reduction in the ratio of surface NR2A compared to the total amount of NR2A and, in contrast, an increase in NR2B surface expression (Figure 2B).

We next investigated if CK2 activity also regulates the synaptic expression

of NR2 subunits. Thus, we analyzed the synaptic localization of GFP-NR2A and GFP-NR2B after TBB-treatment using immunofluorescence microscopy to measure the colocalization with PSD-95, a classical postsynaptic marker. We observed a decrease in the colocalization of NR2A with PSD-95 in TBBtreated hippocampal neurons, as well as an increase in the colocalization of PSD-95 with NR2B (Figure 2C).

NR2A

NR2E

Based on these findings, we conclude that CK2 differentially regulates the surface expression and synaptic localization of NR2 subunits in an inverse manner, increasing the level of NR2A-containing and decreasing NR2B-containing NMDARs. Similar results were observed using DRB, an additional CK2 inhibitor (see Figure S1 available online), confirming the role of CK2 in NR2 regulation.

CK2 is a pleiotropic kinase, which phosphorylates several proteins present at synapses that could potentially modulate synaptic expression of NMDAR subunits (Blanguet, 2000). To investigate whether the effects observed after CK2 inhibition are caused by the direct phosphorylation of NR2B within its





Figure 3. NR2B E1479Q, Which Is Not Phosphorylated by CK2, Shows Increased Surface Expression and Synaptic Localization Compared to NR2B WT

(A) In vitro CK2 phosphorylation (as described in Figure 1B) of the last 175 aa of NR2B attached to GST (WT, E1479Q, S1480E, and V1482Stop).

(B) Pull-down experiments of GST-NR2B (WT, E1479Q, S1480E, and V1482Stop). Beads were incubated with lysate of HEK293 cells expressing PSD-95 for 2 hr at 4°C. After washes, the recovered material was analyzed by immunoblotting with an anti-PSD-95 antibody.

(C) Surface expression analysis (as described in Figure 2B) was carried out with hippocampal neurons expressing GFP-NR2A (WT or E1461Q) or GFP-NR2B (WT or E1479Q). Graph represents mean \pm SEM. ***p < 0.001. n for NR2A (WT, E/Q) = 25, 21. n for NR2B (WT, E/Q) = 20, 26.

(D) Colocalization of endogenous PSD-95 with GFP-NR2A (WT or E1461Q) and GFP-NR2B (WT or E1479Q) analyzed at DIV14 as indicated in Figure 2C. Graph indicates mean \pm SEM. **p < 0.01. n for NR2A (WT, E/Q) = 17, 17. n for NR2B (WT, E/Q) = 16, 14.

Strikingly, we found that the level of the nonphosphorylatable mutant of NR2B present at the cell surface was dramatically elevated (Figure 3C). Furthermore, it exhibited an increased colocalization with PSD-95 (Figure 3D).

In addition, we generated and analyzed the analogous mutant for NR2A, NR2A E1461Q. In contrast to NR2B, NR2A E1479Q was very similar to wild-type

PDZ binding domain, we generated a nonphosphorylatable mutant of NR2B. Mutation of S1480 to Ala prevents phosphorylation, but also disrupts the interaction of NR2B with PSD-95 family members (Lim et al., 2002; Prybylowski et al., 2005) making results obtained from this mutant difficult to interpret. However, we found that mutating E1479 to Gln (position -4 in the PDZ binding domain) eliminates CK2 phosphorylation, whereas binding to PDZ domain-containing proteins is retained. As shown in Figure 3A, NR2B E1479Q is not phosphorylated by CK2 in an in vitro phosphorylation assay. However, pull-down experiments carried out incubating the last 175 aa of NR2B attached to GST with lysates of HEK293 cells expressing PSD-95 or SAP102 showed that NR2B E1479Q retains the ability to bind to MAGUK proteins. As expected, two mutants with a defective PDZ-domain, either containing a phosphomimetic mutation (NR2B S1480E) or truncation (NR2B V1482Stop), showed no binding to MAGUK proteins, confirming the specificity of our assay (Figure 3B; data for SAP102 not shown).

Therefore, we used the phosphorylation deficient mutant NR2B E1479Q to study whether S1480 phosphorylation of NR2B regulates the surface and synaptic expression of NR2B. We quantified surface-expressed receptors and the colocalization with PSD-95 in hippocampal neurons transfected with GFP-NR2B WT or GFP-NR2B E1479Q as described for Figure 2.

NR2A in both the level of surface expression and its colocalization with PSD-95 (Figures 3C and 3D). These results demonstrate that the ratio of synaptic NR2B is regulated via S1480 phosphorylation and that the analogous residue of NR2A does not regulate synaptic NR2A expression.

We next investigated the mechanisms underlying the regulation of NR2B trafficking by CK2. One likely possibility is that the observed decrease in surface and synaptic expression is due to increased NMDAR endocytosis. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that CK2 activity disrupts the binding of NR2B with MAGUK proteins (Figure 1D and (Chung et al., 2004) and it has been demonstrated that PSD-95 stabilizes NMDARs at the surface and inhibits endocytosis (Lavezzari et al., 2003). Therefore, we analyzed the effect of CK2 on NMDAR endocytosis using a fluorescence-based trafficking assay in GFP-NR2B- or GFP-NR2B E1479Q-transfected neurons (Suh et al., 2008). Surface receptors were labeled with anti-GFP antibody and the cells returned to 37°C for 30 min to allow protein internalization (±TBB). After incubating with Alexa-568 conjugated secondary antibody (shown in green), cells were permeabilized and the internalized pool of receptors was visualized with Alexa-633 conjugated secondary antibody (white). The endocytosis of NR2B is strongly reduced by the inhibition of CK2 (Figure 4A). Interestingly, we also observed

Regulation of Synaptic NMDARs by CK2



Figure 4. Phosphorylation of NR2B S1480 Increases Endocytosis via a Coordinated Dephosphorylation of Y1472 in the YEKL Endocytic Domain

(A) An endocytosis assay of hippocampal neurons transfected with GFP-NR2B (WT or E1479Q) was carried out as described in Experimental Procedures. At DIV10, neurons were labeled with anti-GFP antibody, washed, and returned to conditioned media (±25 µM TBB) for 30 min at 37°C to allow receptor internalization. Cells were fixed, and surface-expressed proteins were labeled with Alexa 568-conjugated secondary antibody (shown in green). After permeabilization with 0.25% TX-100, internalized receptors were labeled with Alexa 633-conjugated secondary antibody (shown as white). n for NR2B WT (±TBB) = 15, 25. n for NR2B E1479Q (±TBB) = 25, 21. Graph represents means ± SEM. ***p < 0.001; n.s. denotes not significant differences.

(B) Endocytosis assay with NR2B constructs with mutations in the YEKL and/or PDZ-domain carried out as in (A). n = 31, 25, 29, 27, 15, 26. Graph represents means \pm SEM. **p < 0.01 ***p < 0.001.

(C) Surface expression of NR2B constructs with mutations in the YEKL and/or PDZ-domain was analyzed as in Figure 2B. n = 38, 32, 22, 32, 17, 30. Graph represents means \pm SEM. **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

(D) Levels of NR2B phosphorylation (Y1472 and S1480) were analyzed in HEK293T cells transfected with PSD-95, NR1, and GFP-NR2B and incubated ±25 μ M TBB for 4 hr. n = 3. Graph represents means ± SEM. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. See also Figure S2.

expression and endocytosis via phosphorylation of Y1472. We generated NR2B constructs with mutations in both the PDZ-binding domain (E1479Q or S1480E) and in the YEKL endocytic motif (Y1472A). As expected, we observed reduced internalization for the NR2B Y1472A mutant (Lavezzari et al.,

a decrease in the endocytosis of the phosphorylation deficient mutant NR2B E1479Q that was not further decreased by the presence of TBB. These findings show that CK2 reduces NR2B internalization via S1480 phosphorylation (Figure 4A). Consistent with our previous findings, endocytosis of NR2A E1461Q was the same as NR2A WT (Figure S2).

Several motifs have been identified in NMDAR subunits that regulate endocytosis (Lau and Zukin, 2007). Among them, the tyrosine-based endocytic motif (YEKL) present in the extreme C terminus of NR2B is a prime candidate to be affected by CK2 activity because of its close proximity to S1480 (Figure 1A). In addition, there is an interplay between the binding of MAGUK proteins with NR2B via the PDZ-domain and the phosphorylation of the YEKL motif (Y1472) that results in the stabilization of NMDARs in the membrane (Lavezzari et al., 2003; Prybylowski et al., 2005). Therefore, we tested whether phosphorylation in the PDZ domain of NR2B regulates its surface 2004; Prybylowski et al., 2005). Strikingly the level of endocytosis of NR2B E1479Q was almost indistinguishable from NR2B Y1472A. Most important, the double mutant, NR2B Y1472A;E1479Q, did not show any additional decrease in endocytosis, indicating that the phosphorylation in the PDZ domain and in the YEKL motif share a common molecular mechanism to reduce NR2B endocytosis. Consistently, the increased endocytosis of NR2B S1480E was decreased to the levels of NR2B Y1472A by introducing an additional mutation in the YEKL motif (NR2B Y1472A;S1480E). Similar levels of endocytosis were observed with NR2B E1479Q and NR2B Y1472A;E1479Q (Figure 4B). Accordingly, we found that the mutation in the YEKL motif did not modify the surface expression of NR2B E1479Q, whereas it did significantly increase the surface levels of the phosphomimetic S1480E to the levels obtained by the nonphosphorylatable NR2B E1479Q mutant (Figure 4C).



Figure 5. Phosphorylation of NR2B S1480 and Total Expression of CK2 Increase during the Second Postnatal Week and the Association of CK2 with Synaptic Plasma Membranes Is Elevated at P13

(A) Protein expression was analyzed in cortical synaptosomes from mice of different stages of development by immunoblotting.

Graphs shown in (B) and (C) summarize the data of six experiments analyzing the level of NR2B Ser1480 phosphorylation and expression of NR2A and CK2 (α and β subunits) throughout development (the gray area indicates the critical period of time for the NMDAR subunit switch).

(D) Synaptic plasma membranes (SPMs) were isolated from P7, P13, or adult animals, using a standard purification protocol (Hallett et al., 2008). The level of phosphorylated NR2B (Y1472 and S1480), CK2 (α and β), EEA1 (as negative control) and actin (as loading control) present in the SPMs was analyzed by immunoblotting).

(E and F) Graph represents means \pm SEM. ***p < 0.001; n = 6.

The findings of these immunofluorescence experiments suggest that phosphorylation in the PDZ binding domain of NR2B affects the phosphorylation of the YEKL endocytic motif, which increases NMDARs internalization. To examine this hypothesis, we investigated the effect of CK2 inhibition on Y1472 phosphorylation. We analyzed the levels of NR2B pY1472 and pS1480 in HEK293 transfected with PSD-95, NR1 and NR2B after incubation $\pm 25 \ \mu$ M TBB for 4 hr. As expected, we observed a reduction in NR2B pS1480 and a concomitant increase in Y1472 phosphorylation (Figure 4D).

It is well known that the subunit composition of synaptic NMDARs changes during development. During early postnatal development, NR2B-containing NMDARs predominate, whereas NR2A-containing NMDARs are the major synaptic subtype in the adult central nervous system (Groc et al., 2009; Lau and Zukin, 2007). Thus far our data demonstrate that CK2 can modify the NR2A/2B ratio of synaptic NMDARs, so we hypothesized that CK2 might be important in the developmental switch of NR2 subunit composition. The fact that CK2 activity is

developmentally regulated (Blanquet, 2000) and is modulated by synaptic activity (Charriaut-Marlangue et al., 1991) makes it a good candidate. Therefore, we evaluated the expression of CK2, NR2A, NR2B, and NR2B S1480 phosphorylation during development by immunoblotting extracts of cortical synaptosomes from mice at different ages. As previously reported (Petralia et al., 2005; van Zundert et al., 2004) we observed a substantial increase in NR2A and a slight decrease in NR2B expression throughout development. Remarkably, we found that S1480 phosphorylation (evaluated as a ratio to total NR2B) is elevated in the second postnatal week, the critical period for replacement of NR2B by NR2A (Figures 5A and 5B). We also analyzed the levels of CK2 catalytic and regulatory subunits (α and β , respectively) and found that both subunits reached their highest level of expression during the critical period for the NR2 subunit switch (P11-15) (Figures 5A and 5C). The accessibility of CK2 to the substrate can play a major role in regulation of CK2 phosphorylation (Faust and Montenarh, 2000). Therefore, we used subcellular fractionation and immunoblotting to see if CK2



Figure 6. Phosphorylation of NR2B on S1480 Increases in Response to NMDAR Activity and Is Regulated by NR2A Expression (A) Cortical cultures (DIV10) were incubated for 8 hr with tetrodoxin (TTX; 2 μ M) or bicuculline (Bicuc.; 40 μ M) to block or stimulate neuronal activity respectively. Treatment with KCI (20 mM for 5 min) was used to induce neuronal depolarization. The level of phosphorylated NR2B was analyzed by immunoblotting after isolation of cellular membranes. The same membrane was reblotted for NR2B or phospho-specific NR2B S1480 antibodies. Graph represents means ± SEM. **p < 0.01; n = 5.

(B) Cortical cultures were incubated overnight ±NMDAR antagonists (100 μ M APV; 40 μ M MK-801). Graph represents means ± SEM. *p < 0.05; n = 3. (C) Cortical synaptosomes were isolated from P11 mice (WT or NR2A knockout) and analyzed by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. Graph represents means ± SEM. *p < 0.05; n = 4.

(D) GST-NR2B (last 175 aa) was phosphorylated in vitro using 10 µg of brain lysate as source of kinases. TBB (25 µM) was added to the sample when indicated.

is enriched at SPMs along with NR2 subunits. We found that the association of CK2 α and β subunits with the SPM at P13 was dramatically elevated in comparison with younger or older tissue, supporting a role for this kinase in synaptic development (Figures 5D and 5F). Consistent with our previous data, the level of NR2B pS1480 was elevated in P13 in SPM and pY1472 was reduced in comparison with the adult levels (Figures 5D and 5E).

The synaptic incorporation of NR2A requires synaptic activity and can be blocked by NMDAR inhibitors (Barria and Malinow, 2002; Bellone and Nicoll, 2007). As previously reported (Chung et al., 2004), we find that phosphorylation of NR2B on S1480 is induced by activity that is dependent on synaptic NMDAR activation, because we observe a substantial reduction in NR2B S1480 phosphorylation in cultures treated for 8 hr with tetrodotoxin (2 µM) or overnight with NMDAR inhibitors (100 µM APV; 40 µM MK801) (Figures 6A and 6B). Conversely, increasing synaptic activity with bicuculline (40 µM for 8 hr) or KCI (20 mM for 5 min) results in an elevated level of NR2B S1480 phosphorylation compared with control cultures (Figures 6A). In addition, NR2A expression modulates synaptic NR2A by promoting its insertion into synaptic membranes (Barria and Malinow, 2002). Therefore, to investigate whether the level of NR2A expression can also modify NR2BpS1480, we analyzed NR2A knockout mice by immunoblotting cortical synaptosomes from P11. Interestingly, we found a significant reduction in the amount of NR2B phosphorylated on S1480 in mice lacking NR2A (Figure 6C). Therefore, the same stimuli that are required for the NMDAR switch also regulate NR2B S1480 phosphorylation.

We have substantial evidence that CK2 activity affects synaptic expression of NR2 subunits and that NR2B S1480 is

990 Neuron 67, 984–996, September 23, 2010 ©2010 Elsevier Inc.

a critical residue. However, it is possible that NR2B is phosphorylated on S1480 by another kinase. To investigate this possibility, we carried out an in vitro phosphorylation assay with the C terminus NR2B attached to GST, using 10 μ g of brain lysate as source of endogenous kinases. We found that NR2B was phosphorylated in vitro on incubation with the brain lysate, but the phosphorylation was blocked in the presence of 25 μ M TBB (Figure 6D) consistent with the phosphorylation of NR2B S1480 being mediated exclusively by CK2.

LTP induction rapidly induces a switch in NMDA receptor subunit composition from NR2B- to NR2A-containing NMDARs at synapses on hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons in young (2- to 9-day-old) rats (Bellone and Nicoll, 2007). We next studied a role for CK2 in this activity-driven switch using whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from CA1 pyramidal neurons in acute hippocampal slices prepared from 4- to 9-day-old rats. Consistent with previous work (Bellone and Nicoll, 2007), an LTP induction protocol caused the speeding of NMDA receptor excitatory postsynaptic current (EPSC) decay and a decrease in ifenprodil (5 µM) block that was specific to the pathway in which the LTP protocol was applied (Figures 7A-7C, 7G, and 7H). These findings confirm that activity causes a rapid switch from NR2B-containing NMDA receptors, which exhibit slow decay kinetics and are blocked by ifenprodil, to NR2A-containing NMDA receptors that exhibit faster kinetics and a lack of ifenprodil sensitivity. To determine if CK2 is involved in this subunit composition switch, in experiments interleaved with the controls (described above) we incubated slices in TBB (10 µM) for at least 2 hr and then tested the ability of activity to drive the subunit switch. In TBB-treated slices, the LTP induction protocol failed





to cause a speeding of NMDA EPSC kinetics or reduce sensitivity to ifenprodil (Figures 7D–7H). Thus CK2 is involved in the activity-dependent switch in NR2 subunit composition of synaptic NMDA receptors.

DISCUSSION

Many functional properties of NMDARs are determined by NR2 subunits, including affinity for glutamate, sensitivity to Mg²⁺, single channel conductance, open probability, and deactivation time (Furukawa et al., 2005; Groc et al., 2009). Not surprisingly, NR2 subunits are subject to strict control mechanisms and the NR2A and NR2B subunits are differentially regulated. For instance, these subunits show distinct patterns of expression during the development and, in adult brain, NR2B is restricted to forebrain whereas NR2A is ubiquitously expressed (Kohr, 2006; Monyer et al., 1994; Wenzel et al., 1997). NR2A and NR2B also exhibit a different subcellular localization. In cortex and hippocampal pyramidal cells NR2A is highly localized

Figure 7. CK2 Activity Regulates the Activity-Dependent Switch in the Subunit Composition of Synaptic NMDA Receptors

(A) Pooled data (n = 10) for NMDA EPSC amplitude versus time from control experiments showing the effect of LTP induction on ifenprodil block (red is test pathway to which the LTP induction protocol was applied; black is the control pathway; these colors are consistent throughout the figure).

(B) NMDA EPSCs from example control experiment showing the speeding of kinetics in test path after induction (lower panel).

(C) NMDA EPSCs from example control experiment showing the reduced block by ifenprodil (5 μ M) in test path after induction (lower panel).

(D–F) As for control experiments (A–C), but in slices incubated with TBB (10 μ M) for at least 2 hr (n = 7). (G) Summary data of weighted decay time constant (control [–TBB] n = 10; +TBB n = 8). *Indicates p < 0.05 between pre- and postinduction.

(H) Summary data of NMDA EPSC amplitude in ifenprodil (% of EPSC amplitude in absence of ifenprodil; control [-TBB] n = 10; +TBB n = 7). *Indicates p < 0.05 between control and test pathways.

to postsynaptic membranes whereas there is a high amount of NR2B at extrasynaptic sites (Kew et al., 1998; Scimemi et al., 2004; Tovar and Westbrook, 1999). Trafficking of NR2A and NR2B is also differentially modulated, as NR2B-containing receptors are more dynamic than NR2A, with a higher rate of endocytosis (Lavezzari et al., 2004), lateral diffusion (Groc and Choquet, 2006) and, a higher association with recycling endosomes (Lavezzari et al., 2004) compared to NR2A.

Phosphorylation is one of the major regulatory mechanisms for NMDARs. Both subunits are substrates for a number of kinases, although differences between subunits also exist (Chen and Roche, 2007). In this study, we show differential regulation of synaptic NR2A and NR2B by CK2. It has been reported that CK2 phosphorylates NR2B within the PDZ binding domain (Chung et al., 2004). We now show that CK2 phosphorylates NR2B much more efficiently than NR2A, which leads to a decrease in synaptic expression of NR2B. In addition, we have characterized a NR2B mutant that cannot be phosphorylated by CK2, but maintains the binding with MAGUK proteins (NR2B E1479Q). We found that this mutant mimics the effect of CK2 inhibition in altering both NR2B surface expression and colocalization with PSD-95, indicating that the phosphorylation in the PDZ binding domain of NR2B by CK2 regulates receptor trafficking. Furthermore, we have identified an interplay between S1480 phosphorylation and the YEKL endocytic motif, providing a molecular mechanism for the observed effects on NMDAR trafficking. Our findings support a model in which the



Figure 8. Model of CK2 Regulation of Synaptic NMDARs

(A) Early in development, the association of NR2B with MAGUKs stabilizes NR2B at synaptic membranes via phosphorylation of Y1472 by Fyn. Phosphorylation of the Y1472 within the tyrosine-based endocytic motif blocks AP-2 binding. (B) During the critical period, NMDAR activity induces NR2B S1480 phosphorylation by CK2, which results in the disruption of NR2B association with MAGUKs. NR2B Y1472 is now dephosphorylated and AP-2 can bind to the YEKL motif and promote NR2B endocytosis.

(C) NR2A expression increases and NR2Acontaining receptors replace NR2B-containing NMDARs at synaptic sites.

coordinated phosphorylation of two different residues of NR2B: S1480 within the PDZ domain binding domain and Y1472 within the tyrosine-based YEKL endocytic motif regulates receptor endocytosis, and ultimately the surface expression of NR2B (Figure 8). Specifically, the association of NR2B with MAGUK proteins, such as PSD-95, is disrupted when NR2B is phosphorylated by CK2 within its PDZ binding domain (S1480). The disruption of this interaction triggers a decrease in Y1472 phosphorylation of NR2B within the YEKL endocytic domain and, ultimately, an increase in NR2B internalization by association with AP-2 (Blanpied et al., 2002; Chung et al., 2004; Prybylowski et al., 2005; Roche et al., 2001). This model is based on our analyses of NR2B constructs with mutations in the YEKL and/or PDZ binding domain and on the quantification of Y1472 and S1480 phosphorylation on NR2B after pharmacological inhibition of CK2. In addition, this model is entirely consistent with previous studies showing that increased PSD-95 binding to NR2B leads to increased Y1472 phosphorylation and NR2B surface expression (Song et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2008). In addition, it has been recently reported that chronic ethanol treatment in cultured neurons results in a decrease in NR2B S1480 phosphorylation and leads to a NR2B translocation from synaptic to extrasynaptic sites (Clapp et al., 2010). Although a very similar treatment with ethanol also decreases NR2B Y1472 phosphorylation (Alvestad et al., 2003) this is likely due to a pathologically elevated tyrosine-phosphatase activity (Xu et al., 2003; Zhao and Zhang, 1996) rather than a coordinated mechanism between the YEKL and PDZ binding domain.

We also show that NR2B Y1472A, which is unable to bind to the clathrin adaptor protein AP-2, exhibits reduced endocytosis, and accordingly, increased surface expression. These results are consistent with previous studies in which different stimuli that reduce Y1472 phosphorylation also decrease NR2B surface expression (Snyder et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2008). However, Prybylowski et al. (2005) reported that NR2B Y1472A shows increased synaptic but not total surface expression, based on their current density recording in response to applied NMDA. This discrepancy with our current findings could be due to the use of cerebellar granular cells (CGCs) for the electrophysiological studies in the article by Prybylowski et al. (2005), because the authors found a significant increase of NR2B Y1472A surface expression when analyzed in hippocampal cultures by immunocytochemistry in the same study. activity. However, NR2B is an excellent substrate for CK2, whereas NR2A is not (Figure 1). We mutated E1479Q on NR2B to block CK2 phosphorylation and found this eliminated the effect of CK2 inhibition on NR2B internalization. However, the analogous mutation on NR2A (NR2A E1461Q) showed the same ratio of endocytosis as wild-type NR2A. These data all suggest that CK2 activity affects NR2A trafficking via an indirect modulation by phosphorylating other synaptic proteins. This mechanism would include an increase in NR2A stabilization in postsynaptic membranes, the delivery to synapses or even modulation of NR2A expression (Groc et al., 2009; Perez-Otano and Ehlers, 2005). MAGUK proteins such as PSD-95 (Soto et al., 2004), kinases such as PKC (Allende and Allende, 1995) or PKA (Carmichael et al., 1982; Hemmings et al., 1982), phosphatases such as PP2A (Heriche et al., 1997), PP2C (Pinna and Meggio, 1997) or PTP1B (Jung et al., 1998) and a large number of transcription factors (Blanquet, 2000; Meggio and Pinna, 2003) are some of the candidate proteins phosphorylated by CK2 that might affect NR2A synaptic expression. An alternative and appealing explanation for our observations is that the NMDAR 2A/2B subunit switch is a mechanism with two sequential and coupled stages, in which the synaptic removal of NR2B is required to allow NR2A synaptic incorporation. CK2, therefore, might facilitate NR2A insertion by removing NR2B from the synaptic sites via S1480 phosphorylation (Figure 8). All our data are consistent with such a model.

We find that NR2A, like NR2B, is strongly regulated by CK2

Our findings reveal an important role for CK2 in regulating synaptic NMDARs. CK2 is a ubiquitous serine/threonine kinase that is highly expressed in the brain, where it is widely distributed in both neuronal and nonneuronal cells (Allende and Allende, 1995; Blanquet, 2000; Pinna, 1990). CK2 activity is high in cortex and, interestingly, 25%-30% of its total activity is localized in the synaptosomal fraction (Girault et al., 1990). It is not clear what function CK2 is performing at synapses, but increasing evidence suggests a role in learning and memory. For example, LTP can be blocked by CK2 inhibitors (5,6-dichloro-1- β -D-ribofuranosyl-benzimidazole [DRB] and TBB) by reducing NMDAR activity (Kimura and Matsuki, 2008). Furthermore, DRB is able to reduce fear-motivated learning (Igaz et al., 2002). In addition to NR2B, a large number of proteins associated with synaptic plasticity has been reported to be phosphorylated by CK2 (Blanquet, 2000). Consistently, extracts of the frontal cortex of Alzheimer's disease patients show a decreased level of this kinase (Aksenova et al., 1991). However, a recent study reported an increase on spatial learning after inhibition of CK2 using dominant-negative mutants (Chao et al., 2007). Notably, CK2 is developmentally regulated. Its activity is high at embryonic day 16 in cortex, remains elevated during the early postnatal period and decreases slightly in the adult. However, in liver, CK2 activity decreases around birth (Girault et al., 1990). Those data and our findings that the highest expression levels of CK2 and its association with synaptic plasma membranes are reached at P11-15 suggest a developmental role for CK2.

The NMDAR subunit switch is one of the more studied events during synaptic maturation. It is well established that synaptic NR2B-containing NMDARs are replaced by NR2A-containing during the second postnatal week in rodents, a process that has been studied extensively in visual cortex (Carmignoto and Vicini, 1992; Hestrin, 1992; Philpot et al., 2001; Quinlan et al., 1999; van Zundert et al., 2004). It is known that NR2A incorporation into postsynaptic membranes is dependent on synaptic activity and the level of NR2A expression (Barria and Malinow, 2002), but the molecular mechanisms controlling the NMDAR switch remain unclear. Remarkably, it has been reported recently that induction of LTP in young animals (2-9 days old) results in a rapid replacement of synaptic NR2B subunits by NR2A, as demonstrated by faster kinetics and a lower inhibition by ifenprodil obtained in the LTP path compared to the control (Bellone and Nicoll, 2007). However, no molecular mechanism has been implicated in mediating these effects. We now find CK2 activity is an important step for this switch. We have demonstrated that CK2 differentially regulates synaptic NMDAR subunits, increasing NR2A and reducing NR2B, and, in addition, CK2 activity is known to increase rapidly during the induction of LTP in hippocampus (Charriaut-Marlangue et al., 1991). Importantly, we have shown that the NMDAR subunit switch induced by LTP is blocked in the presence of a CK2 inhibitor. Consistent with this hypothesis, both the switch and NR2B S1480 phosphorylation by CK2 are dependent on synaptic activity and NMDAR activation. How activity modulates NR2B S1480 phosphorylation is unclear, but it is known that it is partially dependent on CaMKII (Chung et al., 2004 and data not shown). Although CaMKII can phosphorylate the CK2 ß subunit directly, this phosphorylation does not modify NR2B S1480 phosphorylation in an in vitro phosphorylation assay (Chung et al., 2004). One possibility is that CaMKII phosphorylation of CK2 targets CK2 to the synaptic plasma membrane, allowing the interaction with NR2B (see the coincident increased of NR2B S1480 phosphorylation and association of CK2 with SPMs at P13 in Figures 5D-5F). Identifying the molecular mechanisms underlying the spatiotemporal regulation of synaptic CK2 activity is an important topic for future investigation as our data demonstrate that CK2 strongly regulates synaptic NMDARs composition.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Neuronal Cultures, Antibodies, and Reagents

Primary cultured neurons were prepared from E18 Sprague-Dawley rats as previously described (Roche and Huganir, 1995). For biochemical experiments

we used cortical neurons because the cortex yields enough material for biochemical analyses, whereas hippocampal cultures are the preferred for immunocytochemistry. The use and care of animals used in this study followed the guidelines of the NIH Animal Research Advisory Committee. C-terminal NR2B, synaptophysin, and CK2 subunit β antibodies were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). We obtained phosphorylation state-specific S1480 NR2B antibody from Pierce (Rockford, IL), NR2A from Upstate (Lake Placid, NY), actin from Applied Biological Materials (Richmond, BC, Canada), and EEA1 from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). GluR1 and phosphorylation state-specific Y1472 NR2B antibody were from Chemicon (Billerica, MA). NR1. PSD-95 and CK2 subunit α antibodies were purchased from Affinity BioReagents (Golden, CO). Anti-GFP and all secondary antibodies for immunofluorescence were obtained from Invitrogen (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). All the drugs and inhibitors used in this study were purchased from Tocris Cookson (Ellisville, MO) except APV and picrotoxin (Sigma) and DRB (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA). GFP-NR2A and GFP-NR2B constructs were kindly provided by Dr. Stefano Vicini (Georgetown University).

Isolation of the Neuronal Membrane Fraction and Biotinylation Assay of Surface-Expressed Receptors

For the preparation of the crude membrane fraction, cortical neurons were harvested in cold phosphate buffer solution (PBS)/Ca2+ (PBS, 0.1 mM CaCl₂) and collected by centrifugation. The pellet was resuspended in hypotonic buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.8; 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA); 1 mM Na₃VO₄) with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche and Sigma, respectively), briefly sonicated, and centrifuged again at 20,000 x g for 20 min at 4°C. After sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), the samples were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. The same membrane was reblotted for the analysis of NR2B phospho/total ratio. For the biotinvlation assay, cultures were washed three times in cold PBS+ (PBS; 1 mM MgCl₂; 0.1 mM CaCl₂) and incubated with 1 mg/ml EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin (Pierce) in PBS+ for 15 min at 4°C. After quenching the reaction with 100 mM glycine in PBS+ at 4°C for 10 min, the total membrane fraction was isolated as described above. Membranes were solubilized in 1% SDS for 15 min at 37°C, diluted with 10 volumes of cold PBS/1% TX-100 and centrifuged for 45 min at 100,000 x g. The supernatant was then incubated with Streptavidin beads (Thermo Scientific) for 2 hr at 4°C and, after four washes, bound proteins were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. Data are presented as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM) and significance was analyzed using the Student's t test. Experiments were repeated at least three times, independently,

Subcellular Fractionation of Brain Tissue

Biochemical fractionation was carried out following standard methods (Hallett et al., 2008). Briefly, cortex from mice of different developmental stages was homogenized in cold TEVP buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5; 1 mM EDTA; 1 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid [EGTA]; 1 mM Na₃VO₄) containing 0.32 M sucrose and phosphatase and protease inhibitors. Homogenate was centrifuged 10 min to 1000 x g at 4°C to remove nuclei and large debris. The supernatant (SN1) was centrifuged to 10,000 x g to obtain the crude synaptosome (P2) fraction. P2 was incubated in hyposmotic solution (20 mM Tris pH 8.8; 5 mM EDTA; 1 mM Na₃VO₄) for 20 min on ice and centrifuged 30 min at 25,000 x g to obtain synaptic plasma membranes (SPMs). Tissue was collected and processed three times independently and the protein expression analyzed twice for each tissue collection.

Coimmunoprecipitation and Pull-Down Assays

HEK293T cells were cotransfected with PSD-95, NR1 and GFP-NR2A, or GFP-NR2B (ratio 1:5:10) using the calcium phosphate method following manufacturer's instructions (Clontech). After 24 hr of expression, cells were lysed in PBS containing 1% TX-100 and briefly sonicated. Lysates were incubated with anti-NR2A or anti-NR2B antibodies and protein A-Sepharose beads overnight at 4°C, washed and immunoblotted. For pull-down experiments, HEK293T cells were incubated with PSD-95 or SAP102 and processed as before. Lysates were incubated with GST-NR2B C-terminal (wild-type or mutant) for 2 hr at 4°C. After three washes, bound proteins were immunoblotted for PSD-95 or SAP102 to confirm the protein interaction.

In Vitro Phosphorylation and Back Phosphorylation Analysis

The last 175 aa of NR2A or NR2B were fused to GST and purified as previously described (Chen et al., 2006). Mutants were generated by polymerase chain reaction using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) following the manufacturer's instructions. In vitro phosphorylation was carried out by incubating GST-proteins with 50 units of CK2 (New England BioLabs) and 2 pmol [γ -³²P]ATP (3000 Ci/mmol) for 20 min at 30°C in 50 μ l of 20 mM Tris pH 7.5; 50 mM KCl; 10 mM MgCl₂; 0.1 mM ATP. For brain lysate phosphorylation, SN1 fraction from adult mice cortex was dissolved in 2% TX-100 with protease inhibitors for 5 min at RT. GST-proteins were incubated with 10 µg of the dissolved SN1 in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 1.67 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM ATP, and phosphatase inhibitors (Sigma) for 20 min at 30°C. When indicated, 25 µM TBB was added to the reaction. For back phosphorylation, cortical cultures (DIV10) were incubated with 25 μ M TBB overnight to reduce endogenous phosphorylation of CK2 substrates. Cells were harvested and lysed with 1% DOC. After the addition of equal volume of RIPA buffer, receptors were recovered using specific NR2A or NR2B antibodies. The isolated receptors were incubated with 50 units of CK2 as above.

Immunofluorescence

Receptor endocytosis was analyzed using a fluorescence-based antibody uptake assay, as previously reported (Lavezzari et al., 2004; Suh et al., 2008). Briefly, hippocampal neurons were transfected with GFP-NR2A or GFP-NR2B at DIV7 and maintained with 25 µM TBB. At DIV10, surface receptors were labeled with anti-GFP antibody for 15 min at room temperature, and returned at 37°C for 30 min to allow protein internalization. Surface proteins were labeled with Alexa 568-conjugated secondary antibody (shown in green). After permeabilization, the internalized pool of receptor was labeled with Alexa 633-conjugated secondary antibody (shown in white). To compare surface-expressed protein versus the intracellular pool, hippocampal neurons were transfected with GFP-NR2A or GFP-NR2B and treated with TBB as above. Surface-expressed receptors were labeled with anti-GFP antibody and Alexa 568-conjugated secondary antibody (shown in white). Cells were permeabilized with 0.25% TX-100 in PBS and internal pool was labeled with anti-GFP antibody and Alexa 633-conjugated secondary antibody (shown in green). Quantification was performed analyzing the fluorescence intensity of three independent areas per neuron using MetaMorph 6.0 software (Universal Imaging Corp), and is presented as mean ± SEM. For surface-expression analysis the ratio in the intensity surface/intracellular is shown. Data from endocytosis are presented as ratio internalized/surface intensities. Colocalization of NR2 subunits and PSD-95 was analyzed in hippocampal neurons (DIV14) transfected as above and maintained with 25 µM TBB. Colocalization level was analyzed using ImageJ and presented as mean ± SEM. Cells were imaged on a Zeiss LSM 510 confocal microscope. Serial optical sections collected at 0.35-um intervals were used to create maximum projection images. Experiments were repeated at least, three times independently and significance analyzed using Student's t test (n = number of cells).

Electrophysiology

Wistar rats (4- to 9-day-old) were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated in accordance with NIH animal care and use guidelines. Transverse hippocampal slices (400- μ m thick) were cut in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2.5 CaCl₂, 9 MgSO₄, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 26.2 NaHCO₃, 11 glucose equilibrated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. Slices were allowed to recover for at least 1 hr in ACSF at room temperature (composition as above except for 1.3 mM MgSO₄). Whole cell patch clamp recordings were made from visually identified CA1 pyramidal neurons in the presence of 50 μ M picrotoxin at room temperature. The whole-cell solution contained (mM) 115 CsMeSO₄, 20 CsCl₂, 10 HEPES, 2.5 MgCl₂, 4 NaATP, 0.4 NaGTP, 10 NaCreatine, and 0.6 EGTA (pH 7.2).

EPSCs were evoked by electrical stimulation of two independent sets of Schaffer collateral/commissural axons using two bipolar stimulating electrodes placed in stratum radiatum of CA1 (0.1 Hz stimulation frequency for each pathway). The stimulating electrodes were placed on opposite sides of the recorded cell. NMDA receptor EPSCs were obtained in the presence of NBQX (5 μ M) and picrotoxin (50 μ M) while cells were voltage-clamped at

+40 mV. Recordings were carried out using a Multiclamp 700B patch-clamp amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA); signals were filtered at 4 kHz, digitized at 10 Hz and displayed and analyzed on-line using pClamp 9.2 (Axon Instruments). For induction of the activity-dependent switch in the subunit composition of synaptic NMDA receptors, an LTP induction protocol was employed, similar as previously described (Bellone and Nicoll, 2007). Cells were voltage clamped at 0 mV while Schaffer collateral/commissural axons were stimulated at 1 Hz for 120 s. Cells were then voltage-clamped at -70 mV for 5 min after LTP induction. After these 5 min, NMDA receptor EPSCs were once again recorded at +40 mV. The EPSC decay is fit with a double exponential function using OriginLab software (Northampton, MA) and decay kinetics are expressed as a weighted decay time constant. Statistical significance was tested using a Student's t test.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes two figures and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.08.011.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank John D. Badger II for technical assistance. We also thank the NINDS sequencing facility and light imaging facility for expertise and advice. This research was supported by the NINDS Intramural Research Program (A.S-C.; K.W.R.; J.T.R.I) and the Pharmacology Research Associate (PRAT) Program, NIGMS (J.A.M).

Accepted: August 10, 2010 Published: September 22, 2010

REFERENCES

Aksenova, M.V., Burbaeva, G.S., Kandror, K.V., Kapkov, D.V., and Stepanov, A.S. (1991). The decreased level of casein kinase 2 in brain cortex of schizophrenic and Alzheimer's disease patients. FEBS Lett. 279, 55–57.

Al-Hallaq, R.A., Conrads, T.P., Veenstra, T.D., and Wenthold, R.J. (2007). NMDA di-heteromeric receptor populations and associated proteins in rat hippocampus. J. Neurosci. 27, 8334–8343.

Allende, J.E., and Allende, C.C. (1995). Protein kinases. 4. Protein kinase CK2: an enzyme with multiple substrates and a puzzling regulation. FASEB J. 9, 313–323.

Alvestad, R.M., Grosshans, D.R., Coultrap, S.J., Nakazawa, T., Yamamoto, T., and Browning, M.D. (2003). Tyrosine dephosphorylation and ethanol inhibition of N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor function. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 11020–11025.

Barria, A., and Malinow, R. (2002). Subunit-specific NMDA receptor trafficking to synapses. Neuron 35, 345–353.

Bellone, C., and Nicoll, R.A. (2007). Rapid Bidirectional Switching of Synaptic NMDA Receptors. Neuron 55, 779–785.

Blanpied, T.A., Scott, D.B., and Ehlers, M.D. (2002). Dynamics and regulation of clathrin coats at specialized endocytic zones of dendrites and spines. Neuron *36*, 435–449.

Blanquet, P.R. (2000). Casein kinase 2 as a potentially important enzyme in the nervous system. Prog. Neurobiol. *60*, 211–246.

Carmichael, D.F., Geahlen, R.L., Allen, S.M., and Krebs, E.G. (1982). Type II regulatory subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Phosphorylation by casein kinase II at a site that is also phosphorylated in vivo. J. Biol. Chem. 257, 10440–10445.

Carmignoto, G., and Vicini, S. (1992). Activity-dependent decrease in NMDA receptor responses during development of the visual cortex. Science *258*, 1007–1011.

Chao, C.C., Ma, Y.L., and Lee, E.H. (2007). Protein kinase CK2 impairs spatial memory formation through differential cross talk with PI-3 kinase signaling: activation of Akt and inactivation of SGK1. J. Neurosci. *27*, 6243–6248.

Charriaut-Marlangue, C., Otani, S., Creuzet, C., Ben-Ari, Y., and Loeb, J. (1991). Rapid activation of hippocampal casein kinase II during long-term potentiation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *88*, 10232–10236.

Chen, B.S., and Roche, K.W. (2007). Regulation of NMDA receptors by phosphorylation. Neuropharmacology *53*, 362–368.

Chen, B.S., Braud, S., Badger, J.D., 2nd, Isaac, J.T., and Roche, K.W. (2006). Regulation of NR1/NR2C N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors by phosphorylation. J. Biol. Chem. *281*, 16583–16590.

Chung, H.J., Steinberg, J.P., Huganir, R.L., and Linden, D.J. (2003). Requirement of AMPA receptor GluR2 phosphorylation for cerebellar longterm depression. Science *300*, 1751–1755.

Chung, H.J., Huang, Y.H., Lau, L.F., and Huganir, R.L. (2004). Regulation of the NMDA receptor complex and trafficking by activity-dependent phosphorylation of the NR2B subunit PDZ ligand. J. Neurosci. *24*, 10248–10259.

Clapp, P., Gibson, E.S., Dell'acqua, M.L., and Hoffman, P.L. (2010). Phosphorylation regulates removal of synaptic N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors after withdrawal from chronic ethanol exposure. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *332*, 720–729.

Cohen, N.A., Brenman, J.E., Snyder, S.H., and Bredt, D.S. (1996). Binding of the inward rectifier K+ channel Kir 2.3 to PSD-95 is regulated by protein kinase A phosphorylation. Neuron *17*, 759–767.

Cull-Candy, S.G., and Leszkiewicz, D.N. (2004). Role of distinct NMDA receptor subtypes at central synapses. Sci. STKE 2004, re16.

Faust, M., and Montenarh, M. (2000). Subcellular localization of protein kinase CK2. A key to its function? Cell Tissue Res. *301*, 329–340.

Furukawa, H., Singh, S.K., Mancusso, R., and Gouaux, E. (2005). Subunit arrangement and function in NMDA receptors. Nature *438*, 185–192.

Girault, J.A., Hemmings, H.C., Jr., Zorn, S.H., Gustafson, E.L., and Greengard, P. (1990). Characterization in mammalian brain of a DARPP-32 serine kinase identical to casein kinase II. J. Neurochem. *55*, 1772–1783.

Groc, L., and Choquet, D. (2006). AMPA and NMDA glutamate receptor trafficking: multiple roads for reaching and leaving the synapse. Cell Tissue Res. *326*, 423–438.

Groc, L., Bard, L., and Choquet, D. (2009). Surface trafficking of N-methyl-Daspartate receptors: physiological and pathological perspectives. Neuroscience *158*, 4–18.

Hallett, P.J., Collins, T.L., Standaert, D.G., and Dunah, A.W. (2008). Biochemical fractionation of brain tissue for studies of receptor distribution and trafficking. Curr Protoc Neurosci., Chapter 1, Unit 1.16.

Hemmings, B.A., Aitken, A., Cohen, P., Rymond, M., and Hofmann, F. (1982). Phosphorylation of the type-II regulatory subunit of cyclic-AMP-dependent protein kinase by glycogen synthase kinase 3 and glycogen synthase kinase 5. Eur J Biochem. *127*, 473–481.

Heriche, J.K., Lebrin, F., Rabilloud, T., Leroy, D., Chambaz, E.M., and Goldberg, Y. (1997). Regulation of protein phosphatase 2A by direct interaction with casein kinase 2alpha. Science *276*, 952–955.

Hestrin, S. (1992). Developmental regulation of NMDA receptor-mediated synaptic currents at a central synapse. Nature 357, 686–689.

Igaz, L.M., Vianna, M.R., Medina, J.H., and Izquierdo, I. (2002). Two time periods of hippocampal mRNA synthesis are required for memory consolidation of fear-motivated learning. J. Neurosci. *22*, 6781–6789.

Jung, E.J., Kang, Y.S., and Kim, C.W. (1998). Multiple phosphorylation of chicken protein tyrosine phosphatase 1 and human protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B by casein kinase II and p60c-src in vitro. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *246*, 238–242.

Kew, J.N., Richards, J.G., Mutel, V., and Kemp, J.A. (1998). Developmental changes in NMDA receptor glycine affinity and ifenprodil sensitivity reveal three distinct populations of NMDA receptors in individual rat cortical neurons. J. Neurosci. *18*, 1935–1943.

Kim, J.H., and Huganir, R.L. (1999). Organization and regulation of proteins at synapses. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. *11*, 248–254.

Kim, E., and Sheng, M. (2004). PDZ domain proteins of synapses. Nat Rev Neurosci. 5, 771–781.

Kimura, R., and Matsuki, N. (2008). Protein kinase CK2 modulates synaptic plasticity by modification of synaptic NMDA receptors in the hippocampus. J. Physiol. *586*, 3195–3206.

Kohr, G. (2006). NMDA receptor function: subunit composition versus spatial distribution. Cell Tissue Res. 326, 439–446.

Lau, C.G., and Zukin, R.S. (2007). NMDA receptor trafficking in synaptic plasticity and neuropsychiatric disorders. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *8*, 413–426.

Lavezzari, G., McCallum, J., Lee, R., and Roche, K.W. (2003). Differential binding of the AP-2 adaptor complex and PSD-95 to the C-terminus of the NMDA receptor subunit NR2B regulates surface expression. Neuropharma-cology *45*, 729–737.

Lavezzari, G., McCallum, J., Dewey, C.M., and Roche, K.W. (2004). Subunitspecific regulation of NMDA receptor endocytosis. J. Neurosci. 24, 6383– 6391.

Lim, I.A., Hall, D.D., and Hell, J.W. (2002). Selectivity and promiscuity of the first and second PDZ domains of PSD-95 and synapse-associated protein 102. J. Biol. Chem. *277*, 21697–21711.

Litchfield, D.W. (2003). Protein kinase CK2: structure, regulation and role in cellular decisions of life and death. Biochem. J. *36*9, 1–15.

Litchfield, D.W., Dobrowolska, G., and Krebs, E.G. (1994). Regulation of casein kinase II by growth factors: a reevaluation. Cell. Mol. Biol. Res. 40, 373–381.

Martin, M.E., Alcazar, A., and Salinas, M. (1990). Subcellular and regional distribution of casein kinase II and initiation factor 2 activities during rat brain development. Int. J. Dev. Neurosci. *8*, 47–54.

Meggio, F., and Pinna, L.A. (2003). One-thousand-and-one substrates of protein kinase CK2? FASEB J. *17*, 349–368.

Monyer, H., Burnashev, N., Laurie, D.J., Sakmann, B., and Seeburg, P.H. (1994). Developmental and regional expression in the rat brain and functional properties of four NMDA receptors. Neuron *12*, 529–540.

Perez, J.L., Khatri, L., Chang, C., Srivastava, S., Osten, P., and Ziff, E.B. (2001). PICK1 targets activated protein kinase Calpha to AMPA receptor clusters in spines of hippocampal neurons and reduces surface levels of the AMPAtype glutamate receptor subunit 2. J. Neurosci. *21*, 5417–5428.

Perez-Otano, I., and Ehlers, M.D. (2005). Homeostatic plasticity and NMDA receptor trafficking. Trends Neurosci. 28, 229–238.

Petralia, R.S., Sans, N., Wang, Y.X., and Wenthold, R.J. (2005). Ontogeny of postsynaptic density proteins at glutamatergic synapses. Mol. Cell. Neurosci. *29*, 436–452.

Philpot, B.D., Sekhar, A.K., Shouval, H.Z., and Bear, M.F. (2001). Visual experience and deprivation bidirectionally modify the composition and function of NMDA receptors in visual cortex. Neuron *29*, 157–169.

Pinna, L.A. (1990). Casein kinase 2: an 'eminence grise' in cellular regulation? Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1054*, 267–284.

Pinna, L.A., and Meggio, F. (1997). Protein kinase CK2 ("casein kinase-2") and its implication in cell division and proliferation. Prog. Cell Cycle Res. 3, 77–97.

Prybylowski, K., Chang, K., Sans, N., Kan, L., Vicini, S., and Wenthold, R.J. (2005). The synaptic localization of NR2B-containing NMDA receptors is controlled by interactions with PDZ proteins and AP-2. Neuron *47*, 845–857.

Quinlan, E.M., Olstein, D.H., and Bear, M.F. (1999). Bidirectional, experiencedependent regulation of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor subunit composition in the rat visual cortex during postnatal development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *96*, 12876–12880.

Roche, K.W., and Huganir, R.L. (1995). Synaptic expression of the high-affinity kainate receptor subunit KA2 in hippocampal cultures. Neuroscience *69*, 383–393.

Roche, K.W., Standley, S., McCallum, J., Dune Ly, C., Ehlers, M.D., and Wenthold, R.J. (2001). Molecular determinants of NMDA receptor internalization. Nat. Neurosci. *4*, 794–802.

Sarno, S., Reddy, H., Meggio, F., Ruzzene, M., Davies, S.P., Donella-Deana, A., Shugar, D., and Pinna, L.A. (2001). Selectivity of 4,5,6,7-tetrabromobenzotriazole, an ATP site-directed inhibitor of protein kinase CK2 ('casein kinase-2'). FEBS Lett. *496*, 44–48.

Sarno, S., Ruzzene, M., Frascella, P., Pagano, M.A., Meggio, F., Zambon, A., Mazzorana, M., Di Maira, G., Lucchini, V., and Pinna, L.A. (2005). Development and exploitation of CK2 inhibitors. Mol. Cell. Biochem. *274*, 69–76.

Scimemi, A., Fine, A., Kullmann, D.M., and Rusakov, D.A. (2004). NR2Bcontaining receptors mediate cross talk among hippocampal synapses. J. Neurosci. *24*, 4767–4777.

Seidenman, K.J., Steinberg, J.P., Huganir, R., and Malinow, R. (2003). Glutamate receptor subunit 2 Serine 880 phosphorylation modulates synaptic transmission and mediates plasticity in CA1 pyramidal cells. J. Neurosci. *23*, 9220–9228.

Snyder, E.M., Nong, Y., Almeida, C.G., Paul, S., Moran, T., Choi, E.Y., Nairn, A.C., Salter, M.W., Lombroso, P.J., Gouras, G.K., and Greengard, P. (2005). Regulation of NMDA receptor trafficking by amyloid-beta. Nat. Neurosci. *8*, 1051–1058.

Song, C., Zhang, Y., Parsons, C.G., and Liu, Y.F. (2003). Expression of polyglutamine-expanded huntingtin induces tyrosine phosphorylation of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 33364–33369.

Soto, D., Pancetti, F., Marengo, J.J., Sandoval, M., Sandoval, R., Orrego, F., and Wyneken, U. (2004). Protein kinase CK2 in postsynaptic densities: phosphorylation of PSD-95/SAP90 and NMDA receptor regulation. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *322*, 542–550.

Suh, Y.H., Pelkey, K.A., Lavezzari, G., Roche, P.A., Huganir, R.L., McBain, C.J., and Roche, K.W. (2008). Corequirement of PICK1 binding and PKC

phosphorylation for stable surface expression of the metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR7. Neuron *58*, 736–748.

Tanemoto, M., Fujita, A., Higashi, K., and Kurachi, Y. (2002). PSD-95 mediates formation of a functional homomeric Kir5.1 channel in the brain. Neuron *34*, 387–397.

Tovar, K.R., and Westbrook, G.L. (1999). The incorporation of NMDA receptors with a distinct subunit composition at nascent hippocampal synapses in vitro. J. Neurosci. *19*, 4180–4188.

van Zundert, B., Yoshii, A., and Constantine-Paton, M. (2004). Receptor compartmentalization and trafficking at glutamate synapses: a developmental proposal. Trends Neurosci. *27*, 428–437.

Wenzel, A., Fritschy, J.M., Mohler, H., and Benke, D. (1997). NMDA receptor heterogeneity during postnatal development of the rat brain: differential expression of the NR2A, NR2B, and NR2C subunit proteins. J. Neurochem. *68*, 469–478.

Xu, J., Yeon, J.E., Chang, H., Tison, G., Chen, G.J., Wands, J., and de la Monte, S. (2003). Ethanol impairs insulin-stimulated neuronal survival in the developing brain: role of PTEN phosphatase. J. Biol. Chem. *278*, 26929–26937.

Zhang, S., Edelmann, L., Liu, J., Crandall, J.E., and Morabito, M.A. (2008). Cdk5 regulates the phosphorylation of tyrosine 1472 NR2B and the surface expression of NMDA receptors. J. Neurosci. *28*, 415–424.

Zhao, Y., and Zhang, Z.Y. (1996). Reactivity of alcohols toward the phospho enzyme intermediate in the protein-tyrosine phosphatase-catalyzed reaction: probing the transition state of the dephosphorylation step. Biochemistry *35*, 11797–11804.